Horizon J. Hum. & Soc. Sci. Res. 8 (1): 119 – 132 (2026)

HORIZON JOURNALS

Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences Research
www.horizon-JHSSR.com

BP Services

RESEARCH ARTICLE
Peer-reviewed | Open Access

India's Sovereign Credit Rating and the Sovereign-Credibility Conversion Gap: Fiscal Capacity, Institutional Credibility, and Structural Economic Transformation Since 2014

An Evidence-Led Analytical Study on Sovereign Ratings, Fiscal Capacity, Institutional Governance, and India's Macroeconomic Trajectory Since 2014

India's global economic rise has been substantial, but international sovereign assessments continue to reflect the incomplete consolidation of fiscal, institutional, and social transformation.

Dilip Nandkeolyar[1]* and Nayan Deep Singh Kanwal[2]◆

[1]*Co-Chancellor of the Commonwealth University, London, U.K.*
[2]*An Independent Researcher, Austin, Texas, USA*

ARTICLE INFO

Article history
Received: 12-May-26
Revised: 26-May-26
Accepted: 14-Jun-26
Published: 15-Jul-26

*Corresponding Author
Dilip Nandkeolyar (Professor)
E-mail: dilipnandkeolyar@hotmail.com

Co-Author(s):
Author 2: Nayan Deep Singh Kanwal (Professor)
E-mail: nayan.kanwal@gmail.com

Citation: Dilip Nandkeolyar and Nayan Deep Singh Kanwal (2026). India's Sovereign Credit Rating and the Sovereign-Credibility Conversion Gap: Fiscal Capacity, Institutional Credibility, and Structural Economic Transformation Since 2014. Horizon J. Hum. Soc. Sci. Res. 8 (1), 119–132. https://doi.org/10.37534/bp.jhssr.2026.v8.n1.id1372.p119

doi® Crossref Content

ABSTRACT

This article examines the relationship between India's sovereign credit-rating trajectory and the broader structural dynamics of economic transformation since 2014. While India has emerged as one of the world's fastest-growing major economies and has expanded its global economic and geopolitical profile, its sovereign ratings have remained comparatively moderate across major international rating agencies. The study investigates this apparent divergence through the conceptual framework of the "Sovereign-Credibility Conversion Gap," defined as the structural lag between aggregate economic expansion and the deeper conversion of that growth into fiscal depth, institutional credibility, productive employment, distributive resilience, and internationally recognised sovereign strength.

Drawing upon sovereign-rating literature, political-economy scholarship, IMF and World Bank reports, OECD revenue statistics, labour-market studies, inequality research, and sovereign-rating agency assessments, the article adopts a qualitative analytical methodology grounded in secondary-source analysis. The study argues that sovereign-credit evaluations are shaped not solely by GDP growth but by multidimensional interactions among fiscal sustainability, governance effectiveness, institutional predictability, tax capacity, external resilience, labour-market quality, and broader developmental capability.

The findings suggest that India's post-2014 transformation reflects a mixed developmental trajectory characterised by substantial achievements in infrastructure expansion, digital governance, financial inclusion, and macroeconomic resilience alongside continuing structural constraints relating to public debt, fiscal depth, employment quality, inequality, and institutional capacity. The divergence between S&P Global Ratings' 2025 upgrade of India to BBB and the more cautious positions maintained by Fitch Ratings and Moody's is interpreted as reflecting differing assessments

◆Kanwal, N.D.S is currently an Independent Researcher, Cyberjaya, Malaysia.

regarding the extent to which India's economic expansion has translated into deeper sovereign credibility.

The article contributes to scholarship on sovereign-risk assessment and emerging-market political economy by proposing the Sovereign-Credibility Conversion Gap as a conceptual framework for understanding the relationship between macroeconomic growth and sovereign-strength transformation in large developing economies.

Keywords: Sovereign credit ratings, Sovereign-Credibility Conversion Gap, Political economy, Fiscal capacity, Emerging markets, India

1. Introduction

The relationship between sovereign-credit ratings and economic transformation has become an increasingly important area of inquiry within international political economy, development studies, and global finance. Sovereign ratings influence not only the borrowing capacity of States within international capital markets but also broader perceptions regarding fiscal credibility, institutional stability, governance quality, and macroeconomic resilience. For emerging economies, sovereign-credit assessments therefore function as influential indicators of international confidence in long-term economic management and State capacity (Cantor & Packer, 1996; Mellios & Paget-Blanc, 2006).

Since 2014, India has experienced a period of significant economic and institutional transformation characterised by rapid GDP expansion, infrastructure development, digitalisation initiatives, welfare-delivery reforms, financial inclusion programmes, and increased geopolitical visibility. During this period, India emerged as one of the world's fastest-growing major economies while simultaneously strengthening its role within global trade, technology, and strategic governance frameworks. Initiatives associated with digital governance, manufacturing promotion, financial formalisation, and infrastructure expansion have frequently been presented as evidence of India's transition toward a more globally competitive and institutionally modernised economy (Government of India, 2026; World Bank, 2026).

At the same time, however, India's sovereign-credit trajectory has reflected a more complex international assessment. Despite sustained economic growth and rising geopolitical influence, India remained for much of the post-2014 period within the lower investment-grade category across major international sovereign-rating agencies. In August 2025, S&P Global Ratings upgraded India's sovereign rating from BBB− to BBB while maintaining a stable outlook, citing strong economic growth, improving fiscal management, and strengthening external resilience (Reuters, 2025b; S&P Global Ratings, 2025). However, Fitch Ratings continued to affirm India at BBB− with a stable outlook, emphasising concerns relating to public debt levels and fiscal pressures (Fitch Ratings, 2025; Reuters, 2025a), while Moody's retained India at Baa3 with a stable outlook, noting both macroeconomic strengths and continuing structural constraints (Moody's Investors Service, 2025; Reuters, 2025c). This divergence in sovereign assessments raises important analytical questions concerning the broader relationship between macroeconomic expansion and sovereign-credit credibility in emerging-market economies.

The central argument of this article is that India's economic transformation since 2014 has been substantial but uneven in terms of its conversion into deeper sovereign credibility. The paper conceptualises this phenomenon through the idea of the "Sovereign-Credibility Conversion Gap," defined here as the structural lag between aggregate economic growth and the fuller translation of that growth into fiscal depth, institutional predictability, employment resilience, distributive sustainability, and internationally recognised sovereign strength. The study does not argue that India's growth trajectory has been unsuccessful; rather, it suggests that sovereign-credit evaluations reflect broader assessments regarding the extent to which economic expansion has consolidated into long-term institutional and developmental resilience.

This argument emerges within the context of wider debates surrounding sovereign-risk assessment and development governance. Traditional sovereign-rating literature has emphasised variables such as fiscal balance, public debt, inflation control, external liquidity, and per capita income as major determinants of sovereign-credit outcomes (Afonso et al., 2007; Bhatia, 2002; Cantor & Packer, 1996). More recent scholarship, however, increasingly recognises the importance of institutional effectiveness, governance stability, revenue capacity, labour-market resilience, and social cohesion in shaping long-term sovereign credibility (Besley & Persson, 2011; Mellios & Paget-Blanc, 2006). Within emerging economies, rapid GDP growth alone may not necessarily produce equivalent improvements in sovereign ratings if underlying structural vulnerabilities continue to persist.

The Indian case is particularly significant because it illustrates the broader tensions facing large emerging-market democracies undergoing accelerated economic transformation. On the one hand, India has achieved notable advances in digital infrastructure, welfare targeting, financial inclusion, foreign-exchange resilience, and infrastructure expansion. GST implementation, digital-payment systems, manufacturing initiatives, and technological modernisation have contributed to important aspects of institutional and economic restructuring (Government of India, 2026; World Bank, 2018). On the other hand, persistent concerns remain regarding public debt sustainability, labour-market informality, employment quality, tax-to-GDP limitations, regional disparities, and rising wealth inequality (Bharti et al., 2024; International Labour Organization & Institute for Human Development, 2024; OECD, 2025a). The coexistence of these strengths and constraints helps explain the mixed international assessment reflected in India’s sovereign-rating trajectory.

This study seeks to contribute to the literature by integrating sovereign-credit analysis with broader debates concerning political economy, fiscal sociology, institutional governance, and structural transformation. Rather than interpreting sovereign ratings merely as technical financial indicators or as political judgements alone, the article examines them as multidimensional institutional assessments shaped by interactions among macroeconomic management, State capacity, governance credibility, fiscal sustainability, employment generation, and developmental resilience. In doing so, the study attempts to bridge an important analytical gap between sovereign-risk scholarship and wider development-governance literature.

Methodologically, the article adopts a qualitative analytical and interpretive approach grounded primarily in secondary-source analysis. The study draws upon sovereign-rating reports, IMF and World Bank publications, OECD revenue statistics, labour-market studies, inequality research, government documents, and peer-reviewed scholarship relating to sovereign debt, emerging-market governance, and political economy. The analysis is therefore not intended as a predictive econometric exercise but rather as a theoretically informed examination of the structural conditions shaping sovereign-credit credibility in contemporary India.

The article is organised into several sections. Following this introduction, the literature review examines major scholarly debates concerning sovereign-credit ratings, fiscal sustainability, State capacity, and emerging-market governance. The subsequent theoretical framework introduces the concept of the Sovereign-Credibility Conversion Gap and outlines the study’s central analytical propositions. The methodology section then explains the research design and interpretive framework adopted in the analysis. The findings and discussion section evaluates India’s sovereign-credit trajectory through dimensions such as fiscal capacity, debt sustainability, institutional governance, labour-market conditions, inequality, and macroeconomic resilience. The article subsequently discusses broader policy implications, limitations of the study, and future research directions before concluding with a synthesis of the study’s principal arguments and scholarly contributions.

2. Literature Review

Scholarly interest in sovereign-credit ratings has expanded significantly within the fields of international political economy, development studies, and global finance because sovereign assessments increasingly influence investment flows, borrowing costs, external financing conditions, and broader perceptions of State credibility. Sovereign-credit ratings are generally understood as institutional evaluations of a government’s capacity and willingness to meet long-term financial obligations. However, beyond their technical financial role, sovereign ratings also operate as influential signals concerning macroeconomic governance, institutional stability, and fiscal credibility within the global political economy (Sinclair, 2005).

Early scholarship on sovereign-credit assessment focused primarily on macroeconomic determinants. One of the most influential studies was conducted by Cantor and Packer (1996), who identified variables such as per capita income, GDP growth, inflation performance, external debt, fiscal balance, and economic development indicators as central determinants of sovereign-credit outcomes. Their research demonstrated that sovereign ratings are strongly correlated with broader macroeconomic fundamentals and that ratings influence access to international capital markets. Similarly, Bhatia (2002), in an International Monetary Fund (IMF) study, argued that sovereign-credit ratings reflect multidimensional evaluations incorporating fiscal performance, debt sustainability, external vulnerability, and institutional management.

Subsequent scholarship broadened sovereign-rating analysis by incorporating political and institutional variables into explanatory frameworks. Mellios and Paget-Blanc (2006) found that political stability, governance quality, and institutional effectiveness play an important role in sovereign-credit evaluations, particularly within emerging economies characterised by structural volatility and uneven developmental transitions. Afonso et al. (2007) similarly argued that government effectiveness, regulatory quality, fiscal credibility, and institutional performance significantly shape sovereign-rating outcomes beyond purely economic indicators. This literature suggests that sovereign-credit assessments increasingly reflect broader evaluations of State capacity and governance resilience rather than narrow macroeconomic performance alone.

Within international political economy, sovereign-credit ratings have also been interpreted as mechanisms through which global financial institutions assess and discipline national economic governance. Sinclair (2005) argued that rating agencies function as influential actors within contemporary global finance by shaping international perceptions of economic credibility and policy reliability. From this perspective, sovereign ratings are not simply neutral technical assessments but institutionalised judgements that influence how emerging economies are integrated into global capital systems. Such interpretations have encouraged scholars to examine sovereign-risk assessment not only as a financial process but also as a broader question of institutional trust, governance legitimacy, and international economic credibility.

Another important area of scholarship concerns the relationship between public debt and long-term economic sustainability. Reinhart and Rogoff (2010) argued that high debt burdens may constrain growth and fiscal flexibility, although later research questioned the existence of universal debt thresholds applicable across all economies. Pescatori et al. (2014) contended that debt sustainability depends less on singular numerical limits and more on factors such as institutional strength, economic resilience, financing conditions, and governance credibility. These debates are especially relevant for emerging economies such as India, where developmental expenditure requirements coexist with substantial infrastructure, welfare, and fiscal demands.

The literature on fiscal sociology and State capacity further emphasises the importance of revenue mobilisation and institutional effectiveness in sustaining long-term economic transformation. Besley and Persson (2011) argued that fiscal capacity and legal-administrative capability are central foundations of modern State development because they determine governments' ability to mobilise resources, maintain institutional legitimacy, and respond effectively to economic shocks. Similarly, OECD revenue studies demonstrate that many emerging economies continue to face structural constraints associated with relatively low tax-to-GDP ratios and uneven fiscal extraction capacity (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development [OECD], 2025a, 2025b). Such limitations may directly influence sovereign-credit perceptions because fiscal depth affects governments' ability to maintain macroeconomic stability and finance long-term developmental commitments.

A related body of scholarship has focused on labour markets, employment quality, and distributive development within emerging economies. The International Labour Organization (ILO) and Institute for Human Development (IHD) (2024) identified persistent structural challenges within India's labour market, particularly regarding youth unemployment, informal employment, skill mismatches, and employment precarity. The *State of Working India Report* (Azim Premji University, 2023) similarly highlighted continuing disparities in labour-market participation, wage security, and social protection. These studies suggest that rapid economic growth does not necessarily produce proportionate improvements in employment resilience or distributive inclusion.

The literature on inequality has also become increasingly relevant to contemporary development debates. Bharti et al. (2024) argued that wealth and income concentration in India increased significantly during recent decades, particularly among higher-income groups. While inequality itself is not a direct sovereign-rating determinant, development scholars increasingly recognise that distributive imbalance may affect long-term social cohesion, political legitimacy, domestic demand structures, and fiscal sustainability. Consequently, contemporary sovereign-risk analysis has gradually expanded beyond narrow fiscal indicators toward broader considerations of institutional resilience and socioeconomic stability.

Research concerning India's economic reforms since 2014 has produced similarly mixed interpretations. Government and policy-oriented perspectives have emphasised achievements in digital governance, financial inclusion, infrastructure expansion, welfare targeting, manufacturing promotion, and tax-system integration (Government of India, 2026; World Bank, 2026). The expansion of digital-payment systems, direct-benefit transfers, and formal financial participation has frequently been presented as evidence of institutional modernisation and State-capacity enhancement. However, critics have also highlighted continuing concerns relating to labour-market informality, public debt burdens, implementation gaps, and uneven distributive outcomes.

Demonetisation and the implementation of the Goods and Services Tax (GST) generated particularly important debates within the literature concerning the relationship between formalisation, governance reform, and economic disruption. Chodorow-Reich et al. (2020) found that demonetisation produced measurable short-term economic dislocation, especially within cash-dependent sectors. Similarly, policy analyses of GST implementation recognised the long-term potential for tax harmonisation and formalisation while also identifying significant compliance and transition challenges for smaller enterprises and informal-sector participants (World Bank, 2018).

Despite this growing body of scholarship, an important analytical gap remains regarding the relationship between India's sovereign-credit trajectory and the broader structural dimensions of economic transformation since 2014. Much of the existing literature examines either sovereign-credit ratings in technical financial terms or India's economic reforms in isolation without sufficiently integrating sovereign-risk assessment, institutional governance, labour-market resilience, fiscal sociology, and developmental transformation within a unified analytical framework. Public debate has similarly often become polarised between highly celebratory narratives of economic emergence and sharply critical accounts of structural weakness.

This study seeks to contribute to the literature by proposing the concept of the "Sovereign-Credibility Conversion Gap" as an interpretive framework for understanding the relationship between macroeconomic growth and sovereign-strength consolidation in emerging economies. The article argues that sovereign-credit assessments reflect not merely aggregate economic expansion but the extent to which such growth is converted into deeper fiscal capacity, institutional credibility, employment resilience, governance effectiveness, and distributive sustainability. By integrating sovereign-risk literature with broader political-economy and development-governance scholarship, the study attempts to provide a more multidimensional interpretation of India's sovereign-credit trajectory within the contemporary global economy.

3. Theoretical Framework

The Sovereign-Credibility Conversion Gap

This study develops the concept of the "Sovereign-Credibility Conversion Gap" as a theoretical framework for analysing the relationship between macroeconomic expansion and sovereign-credit assessment in emerging economies. The framework is based on the central proposition that rapid economic growth does not automatically translate into equivalent improvements in sovereign-credit credibility unless that growth is institutionally consolidated through deeper fiscal capacity, governance effectiveness, productive employment generation, distributive resilience, and long-term macroeconomic stability.

Traditional sovereign-rating models have largely focused on measurable macroeconomic indicators such as GDP growth, debt levels, fiscal balance, inflation control, external liquidity, and per capita income (Cantor & Packer, 1996; Bhatia, 2002). While these variables remain important, more recent scholarship suggests that sovereign-credit evaluations increasingly incorporate broader institutional and structural considerations, including governance quality, policy predictability, administrative capability, and State effectiveness (Afonso et al., 2007; Mellios & Paget-Blanc, 2006). Within this broader analytical context, sovereign ratings may be understood not simply as assessments of economic output but as multidimensional evaluations of a State's long-term developmental and institutional resilience.

The Sovereign-Credibility Conversion Gap refers specifically to the structural lag between aggregate economic performance and the fuller conversion of that performance into internationally recognised sovereign strength. In this framework, economic growth alone is insufficient to produce substantial sovereign-rating advancement if important structural vulnerabilities continue to constrain fiscal sustainability, institutional trust, labour-market resilience, or distributive stability. The framework therefore distinguishes between economic expansion as a quantitative phenomenon and sovereign credibility as a broader institutional outcome.

The concept draws upon insights from political economy, fiscal sociology, and State-capacity theory. Besley and Persson (2011) argued that long-term development depends fundamentally upon the ability of States to build fiscal capacity, administrative effectiveness, and institutional legitimacy. Similarly, sovereign-risk scholarship increasingly recognises that institutional predictability and governance credibility influence external perceptions of sovereign reliability (Sinclair, 2005). Sovereign-credit assessments therefore reflect not only macroeconomic growth rates but also broader evaluations concerning whether States possess the institutional capability to sustain economic transformation over time.

Within emerging economies, the conversion of growth into sovereign credibility may be constrained by several structural factors. High public debt burdens, narrow tax bases, labour-market informality, weak employment quality, regional inequality, governance fragmentation, or limited institutional depth may reduce the extent to which rapid economic expansion translates into stronger sovereign-risk evaluations. Conversely, improvements in fiscal capacity, regulatory stability, institutional coordination, productive employment generation, and macroeconomic predictability may gradually strengthen sovereign credibility even in the absence of exceptionally high growth rates.

The framework proposed in this study identifies five interrelated channels through which economic transformation may affect sovereign-credit credibility:

1. Fiscal-Capacity Conversion

This dimension concerns the extent to which economic growth expands State revenue mobilisation, tax capacity, fiscal flexibility, and debt sustainability. Sovereign-credit assessments often depend heavily upon governments' ability to generate stable revenue streams and maintain credible fiscal management over the long term (OECD, 2025a; Pescatori et al., 2014).

2. Institutional-Credibility Conversion

This channel refers to the extent to which economic transformation strengthens governance effectiveness, regulatory predictability, policy continuity, and administrative capability. Institutional stability remains central to sovereign-risk evaluation because investors and rating agencies place substantial importance on governance reliability and policy credibility (Afonso et al., 2007; Sinclair, 2005).

3. Employment and Productive-Capacity Conversion

This dimension examines whether economic growth generates broad-based productive employment, labour-market formalisation, and sustainable improvements in economic participation. Growth that remains concentrated without substantial employment resilience may weaken long-term developmental sustainability despite strong aggregate output indicators (International Labour Organization & Institute for Human Development, 2024).

4. Social-Resilience Conversion

This aspect concerns the extent to which economic growth contributes to distributive inclusion, social stability, and broader developmental resilience. Persistent inequality and uneven developmental outcomes may affect social cohesion, domestic demand structures, and long-term governance stability (Bharti et al., 2024).

5. External-Credibility Conversion

This dimension relates to how international financial institutions, sovereign-rating agencies, and global investors interpret the broader sustainability of economic transformation. Sovereign credibility within international markets depends not only on economic size but also on the perceived durability and institutional consolidation of growth trajectories (Mellios & Paget-Blanc, 2006).

The framework does not assume that sovereign-rating agencies are entirely neutral or free from geopolitical and institutional biases. However, the study also does not interpret sovereign ratings merely as political instruments detached from economic realities. Instead, the framework treats sovereign assessments as complex institutional judgements shaped by interactions among macroeconomic performance, fiscal governance, institutional trust, developmental resilience, and comparative international positioning.

Within the context of India, the Sovereign-Credibility Conversion Gap framework provides a useful analytical lens for understanding the divergence between India's substantial economic rise and the comparatively moderate progression of its sovereign-credit ratings over much of the post-2014 period. The framework suggests that while India has achieved important advances in infrastructure, digital governance, financial inclusion, and macroeconomic resilience, the international assessment of sovereign credibility continues to reflect concerns regarding fiscal depth, employment quality, debt sustainability, distributive inequality, and institutional consolidation.

The study therefore advances the following central analytical proposition: sovereign-credit credibility in emerging economies depends not solely on aggregate economic growth but on the extent to which that growth is structurally converted into durable fiscal capacity, institutional effectiveness, productive employment, social resilience, and internationally credible governance systems. In this sense, the Sovereign-Credibility Conversion Gap serves as both an interpretive framework for analysing India's sovereign-rating trajectory and a broader conceptual contribution to contemporary debates in sovereign-risk studies and development political economy.

4. Methodology

4.1 Research Design and Methodological Orientation

This study adopts a qualitative analytical and interpretive research design grounded primarily in secondary-source analysis. Rather than constructing a predictive econometric model of sovereign-credit ratings, the article critically examines the relationship between macroeconomic transformation and sovereign-credit credibility within the context of India's post-2014 economic trajectory. The study is situated within the broader fields of political economy, sovereign-risk analysis, and development-governance scholarship.

The methodological orientation is interdisciplinary and interpretive. Sovereign-credit assessments are treated not merely as technical financial indicators but as multidimensional institutional evaluations shaped by interactions among fiscal sustainability, governance effectiveness, debt management, labour-market resilience, and broader developmental capacity (Afonso et al., 2007; Sinclair, 2005). Accordingly, the study integrates insights from sovereign-rating literature, fiscal sociology, macroeconomic governance, and development political economy to examine how economic growth is translated into internationally recognised sovereign credibility.

4.2 Sources and Data Selection

The analysis relies substantially on secondary-source materials obtained from international financial institutions, sovereign-rating agencies, government publications, peer-reviewed scholarship, labour-market studies, and development-governance research. Major institutional sources include the International Monetary Fund (IMF), World Bank, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), and sovereign-rating reports issued by S&P Global Ratings, Fitch Ratings, and Moody’s between 2014 and 2025.

The study also incorporates macroeconomic and developmental data from IMF fiscal-monitor publications, OECD revenue statistics, World Bank development indicators, labour-market analyses produced by the International Labour Organization (ILO) and Institute for Human Development (IHD), and inequality research conducted by Bharti et al. (2024). Additional scholarly materials relating to sovereign-risk assessment, State capacity, and development governance were selected on the basis of institutional credibility, analytical relevance, and their applicability to India’s post-2014 economic transformation.

4.3 Analytical Framework

The article is structured around the conceptual framework of the “Sovereign-Credibility Conversion Gap.” This framework proposes that economic growth does not automatically produce equivalent improvements in sovereign-credit credibility unless macroeconomic expansion is institutionally consolidated through fiscal depth, governance effectiveness, employment resilience, distributive sustainability, and long-term macroeconomic stability.

Using this framework, the analysis examines India’s sovereign-rating trajectory across five interconnected dimensions:

1. Fiscal-capacity conversion;
2. Institutional-credibility conversion;
3. Employment and productive-capacity conversion;
4. Social-resilience conversion; and
5. External-credibility conversion.

These dimensions are used analytically to evaluate how international sovereign assessments interpret the relationship between economic growth and structural transformation within emerging-market economies.

4.4 Comparative and Interpretive Approach

Although the article focuses primarily on India, limited comparative references are made to other emerging economies such as Brazil, Indonesia, South Africa, and Vietnam in order to contextualise India’s sovereign-credit position within broader emerging-market patterns.

The study recognises that sovereign-credit ratings involve both quantitative indicators and qualitative institutional judgements. Consequently, the article neither treats rating agencies as entirely neutral evaluative institutions nor reduces sovereign assessments solely to geopolitical or ideological bias. Instead, sovereign ratings are interpreted as complex institutional assessments shaped by interactions among macroeconomic fundamentals, fiscal governance, institutional credibility, developmental resilience, and international market perceptions.

5. Findings & Discussion

India’s Sovereign-Rating Trajectory and the Question of Structural Credibility

The post-2014 economic trajectory of India presents a significant case for examining the relationship between rapid macroeconomic expansion and sovereign-credit credibility within emerging-market economies. During this period, India achieved substantial economic growth, expanded digital and physical infrastructure, strengthened foreign-exchange reserves, increased financial inclusion, and enhanced its geopolitical visibility. Yet, despite these developments, India’s sovereign-credit progression remained relatively moderate across major international rating agencies for much of the period under study.

The divergence between India’s economic rise and the cautious pace of sovereign-rating improvement forms the central empirical basis for the framework developed in this article. The findings suggest that international sovereign assessments have recognised India’s macroeconomic progress while simultaneously reflecting continuing concerns regarding fiscal depth, institutional consolidation, debt sustainability, employment resilience, and broader structural transformation.

In August 2025, S&P Global Ratings upgraded India's long-term sovereign rating from BBB− to BBB with a stable outlook, citing strong economic growth prospects, improving fiscal management, resilient external-sector performance, and strengthening macroeconomic stability (Reuters, 2025b; S&P Global Ratings, 2025). The upgrade represented an important recognition of India's expanding economic capacity and increasing resilience within the global economy. However, the broader sovereign-rating landscape remained more mixed. Fitch Ratings continued to maintain India at BBB− with a stable outlook, emphasising concerns regarding high public debt and fiscal pressures (Fitch Ratings, 2025; Reuters, 2025a), while Moody's retained India at Baa3 with a stable outlook, acknowledging strong growth while identifying continuing structural constraints relating to debt burdens and institutional challenges (Moody's Investors Service, 2025; Reuters, 2025c).

This divergence among rating agencies is analytically significant because it demonstrates that sovereign-credit assessments are not determined solely by aggregate GDP growth. Instead, the findings support the argument that sovereign credibility depends upon the extent to which economic expansion is institutionally consolidated through fiscal sustainability, governance predictability, productive employment generation, and broader developmental resilience.

5.1 Fiscal Capacity, Debt Sustainability, and Revenue Constraints

One of the central structural issues affecting India's sovereign-credit profile concerns the relationship between economic growth and fiscal capacity. Despite substantial GDP expansion, India continues to face important fiscal constraints associated with public debt levels, revenue mobilisation challenges, and expenditure pressures. IMF estimates indicate that India's combined public debt—incorporating both central and state government obligations—remained near levels exceeding 80% of GDP during parts of the post-pandemic period before gradually moderating (International Monetary Fund [IMF], 2025). Although such debt levels remain manageable relative to some advanced economies, sovereign-rating agencies have repeatedly highlighted debt sustainability as a continuing area of concern within India's sovereign profile.

The findings suggest that sovereign-credit assessments evaluate not only the size of public debt but also the institutional capacity of States to sustain fiscal consolidation over time. India's tax-to-GDP ratio remains comparatively lower than many OECD economies, limiting fiscal flexibility and long-term developmental expenditure capacity (OECD, 2025a). From the perspective of the Sovereign-Credibility Conversion Gap framework, this indicates that strong economic growth has not yet fully translated into equivalent fiscal-depth consolidation.

At the same time, the analysis also indicates important areas of fiscal and institutional progress. The implementation of the Goods and Services Tax (GST), despite transitional challenges, contributed toward greater tax harmonisation and formalisation within the economy (World Bank, 2018). Similarly, improvements in digital tax administration, direct-benefit transfer systems, and financial formalisation have strengthened aspects of fiscal governance and State-capacity coordination. These developments help explain why some rating agencies have become increasingly optimistic regarding India's medium-term fiscal trajectory despite continuing debt-related caution.

The findings therefore suggest that India's sovereign-credit position reflects a dual reality: significant progress in fiscal modernisation and macroeconomic management alongside continuing structural limitations in revenue depth and debt sustainability.

5.2 Institutional Governance and Macroeconomic Credibility

The study further finds that institutional governance and policy credibility remain central components of sovereign-credit assessment. Sovereign ratings increasingly reflect broader evaluations concerning governance stability, administrative effectiveness, regulatory continuity, and institutional predictability rather than purely quantitative economic indicators (Afonso et al., 2007; Sinclair, 2005).

India's post-2014 governance trajectory demonstrates substantial institutional transformation in several areas. The expansion of digital governance infrastructure, biometric identity integration, direct-benefit transfer systems, digital-payment networks, and administrative technological modernisation has strengthened aspects of governance coordination and welfare-delivery efficiency (Government of India, 2026). India's Unified Payments Interface (UPI) and digital financial architecture have also received considerable international attention as examples of large-scale public digital infrastructure development.

Infrastructure expansion similarly contributed to broader perceptions of developmental momentum. Large-scale investments in highways, logistics networks, ports, energy systems, and transportation corridors strengthened India's economic integration and productive capacity. Such developments have positively influenced international perceptions regarding India's long-term growth potential and macroeconomic resilience.

However, the findings also indicate that sovereign-credit assessments continue to incorporate caution regarding institutional consistency and long-term policy predictability. Episodes such as demonetisation generated significant debate concerning the balance between policy ambition and implementation disruption. Chodorow-Reich et al. (2020) found that demonetisation produced measurable short-term economic dislocation, particularly within cash-dependent sectors of the economy. While supporters viewed the policy as an attempt to accelerate formalisation and reduce illicit financial activity, critics argued that implementation challenges weakened economic continuity and disproportionately affected informal-sector participants.

These mixed outcomes illustrate an important dimension of the Sovereign-Credibility Conversion Gap framework: rapid policy activism does not automatically produce corresponding increases in international sovereign confidence unless institutional execution and long-term policy stability are perceived as sufficiently credible and predictable.

5.3 Employment, Informality, and Productive Transformation

The findings further suggest that labour-market conditions remain a significant structural factor shaping long-term assessments of developmental sustainability. Although India has experienced rapid aggregate economic growth, employment generation and labour-market formalisation have not consistently advanced at equivalent rates.

The International Labour Organization (ILO) and Institute for Human Development (IHD) (2024) identified persistent concerns relating to youth unemployment, informal employment structures, underemployment, and skill mismatches within India's labour market. Similarly, the *State of Working India Report* (Azim Premji University, 2023) highlighted continuing disparities in wage security, labour-force participation, and employment quality.

These findings are significant because sovereign-credit assessments increasingly consider the sustainability and inclusiveness of growth trajectories rather than relying solely on aggregate GDP performance. Growth that remains concentrated without broad-based productive employment may weaken long-term fiscal resilience, domestic demand stability, and social cohesion. From the perspective of the Sovereign-Credibility Conversion Gap framework, the persistence of labour-market informality indicates that portions of India's economic expansion have not yet been fully converted into durable productive-capacity strengthening.

At the same time, the study recognises important structural improvements within India's broader economic landscape. Manufacturing initiatives, digital entrepreneurship, infrastructure investment, and expanding formal financial participation have contributed to new areas of economic dynamism. However, the findings suggest that sovereign-credit evaluations continue to reflect caution regarding whether such transformations have yet produced sufficiently broad-based employment resilience across India's vast and diverse socioeconomic structure.

5.4 Inequality, Social Resilience, and Developmental Sustainability

Another important finding concerns the relationship between distributive inequality and broader developmental resilience. Bharti et al. (2024) documented significant increases in wealth and income concentration within India during recent decades, particularly among higher-income groups. Although inequality itself is not a direct sovereign-rating metric, the findings suggest that distributive imbalances may indirectly influence sovereign-credit perceptions through their effects on social cohesion, political stability, fiscal sustainability, and long-term domestic demand structures.

The study does not argue that rising inequality alone determines sovereign-credit outcomes. Rather, the findings indicate that sovereign assessments increasingly incorporate broader concerns regarding the inclusiveness and resilience of economic transformation. Persistent inequality may affect the extent to which economic growth translates into stable social and institutional consolidation over time.

At the same time, the analysis recognises that India has implemented extensive welfare-delivery and financial-inclusion programmes during the post-2014 period. Direct-benefit transfer systems, digital welfare infrastructure, rural support programmes, and financial-access initiatives have expanded the State's administrative reach and social-policy capacity. These developments represent important dimensions of institutional modernisation and governance expansion.

The findings therefore support a balanced interpretation: India's developmental trajectory reflects both substantial advances in welfare-delivery infrastructure and continuing structural challenges relating to distributive equity and social resilience.

5.5 Comparative Emerging-Market Perspective

The study also finds that India's sovereign-rating position is not entirely anomalous when compared with other major emerging economies. Countries such as Brazil, South Africa, Indonesia, and Vietnam similarly experience tensions between growth aspirations, fiscal pressures, institutional constraints, and sovereign-credit assessments.

This comparative perspective is important because it demonstrates that sovereign ratings are influenced not only by economic size or growth rates but also by broader structural and institutional considerations common across emerging-market economies. India's relatively moderate sovereign-rating position therefore reflects structural characteristics frequently associated with developing economies undergoing large-scale transformation rather than representing a simple rejection of India's economic rise.

Nevertheless, the findings suggest that India's scale, demographic structure, geopolitical position, and long-term growth potential continue to provide substantial opportunities for future sovereign-strength improvement if economic expansion is increasingly consolidated into deeper fiscal capacity, institutional predictability, productive employment generation, and broader developmental resilience.

5.6 Interpreting the Sovereign-Credibility Conversion Gap

Taken together, the findings support the article's central theoretical proposition that sovereign-credit credibility depends not merely on economic growth but on the extent to which growth is institutionally converted into durable structural strength. India's post-2014 trajectory demonstrates substantial macroeconomic achievement alongside continuing developmental constraints. Sovereign-rating divergence among major agencies reflects differing interpretations regarding the degree to which India's economic rise has consolidated into long-term fiscal and institutional credibility.

The findings therefore suggest that India's sovereign-rating story is neither one of economic failure nor unqualified transformation. Rather, it reflects a complex and evolving process in which substantial economic advances coexist with continuing structural challenges associated with fiscal depth, debt sustainability, labour-market resilience, institutional consolidation, and distributive stability.

From the perspective of the Sovereign-Credibility Conversion Gap framework, the international assessment of India's rise appears to reflect not a rejection of India's economic emergence, but recognition that the full institutional consolidation of that transformation remains an ongoing and incomplete process.

6. Implications for Policy and Governance

Implications for Policy and Governance

The findings of this study carry several important implications for public policy, sovereign-risk management, and development governance within India and other emerging-market economies. The analysis suggests that long-term sovereign credibility depends not solely upon sustaining rapid economic growth but upon the institutional consolidation of that growth through stronger fiscal capacity, governance effectiveness, productive employment generation, distributive resilience, and macroeconomic stability.

One major implication concerns fiscal governance and revenue mobilisation. The study indicates that sovereign-credit assessments continue to place considerable emphasis on debt sustainability, fiscal flexibility, and long-term revenue capacity. Although India has achieved significant macroeconomic expansion, relatively moderate tax-to-GDP ratios and continuing public-debt pressures remain important structural constraints within sovereign-risk evaluations (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development [OECD], 2025a; International Monetary Fund [IMF], 2025). Consequently, future policy priorities may need to focus not only on economic expansion itself but also on deepening fiscal capacity through more effective tax administration, widening formal-sector participation, improving expenditure efficiency, and strengthening long-term debt-management frameworks.

A second implication concerns the importance of institutional predictability and governance credibility. The findings suggest that sovereign-credit confidence is influenced substantially by perceptions of policy continuity, administrative effectiveness, and regulatory stability (Afonso et al., 2007; Sinclair, 2005). Large-scale policy reforms may enhance long-term developmental capacity when accompanied by institutional coordination, implementation consistency, and administrative preparedness. In this regard, governance modernisation through digital infrastructure, direct-benefit transfer systems, and financial formalisation represents an important foundation for strengthening State capacity. However, policy effectiveness ultimately depends upon sustained institutional credibility and predictable implementation over time.

The study also highlights the importance of employment resilience and labour-market transformation within broader sovereign-strength evaluation. Economic growth that does not sufficiently generate productive and inclusive employment may weaken long-term fiscal sustainability and social stability despite strong aggregate GDP performance (International Labour Organization & Institute for Human Development, 2024). Policies promoting labour-intensive industrialisation, skill development, manufacturing competitiveness, and formal-sector employment expansion may therefore contribute not only to developmental inclusion but also to stronger long-term sovereign credibility.

Another important implication concerns distributive sustainability and social resilience. The findings suggest that persistent inequality and uneven developmental outcomes may indirectly affect perceptions of long-term institutional stability and governance cohesion (Bharti et al., 2024). Accordingly, development strategies that combine growth with broader social inclusion, human-capital investment, welfare efficiency, and regional balance may strengthen both domestic developmental resilience and international economic credibility.

From a broader emerging-market perspective, the study suggests that sovereign-credit advancement requires more than rapid headline growth or geopolitical visibility. Sovereign credibility increasingly reflects multidimensional evaluations concerning whether States possess the institutional depth, fiscal adaptability, governance reliability, and developmental resilience necessary to sustain transformation over the long term. The concept of the Sovereign-Credibility Conversion Gap therefore has wider relevance beyond India because many emerging economies similarly experience tensions between economic expansion and the slower institutional consolidation of sovereign strength.

The findings also carry implications for sovereign-rating analysis itself. The divergence between S&P Global Ratings' 2025 upgrade of India and the comparatively more cautious positions maintained by Fitch Ratings and Moody's suggests that sovereign assessments increasingly involve differing interpretations regarding the durability and institutional quality of economic transformation. This indicates that sovereign ratings should be understood not merely as static technical indicators but as evolving institutional judgements shaped by broader evaluations of governance capability, fiscal sustainability, developmental resilience, and long-term macroeconomic credibility.

Finally, the study implies that the long-term strengthening of sovereign credibility requires a balanced developmental approach integrating growth, institutional effectiveness, fiscal prudence, employment generation, and social resilience. In the Indian context, continued advances in infrastructure, digital governance, financial inclusion, and macroeconomic management may contribute positively toward future sovereign-strength consolidation. However, the findings suggest that the deeper international recognition of India's economic transformation will likely depend upon the extent to which these advances are translated into broader structural and institutional durability over time.

7. Conclusion

This study examined the relationship between India's sovereign-credit trajectory and the broader structural dimensions of economic transformation since 2014. Using the conceptual framework of the "Sovereign-Credibility Conversion Gap," the article argued that rapid macroeconomic growth does not automatically translate into equivalent improvements in sovereign-credit credibility unless economic expansion is institutionally consolidated through stronger fiscal capacity, governance effectiveness, productive employment generation, distributive resilience, and long-term macroeconomic stability.

The findings indicate that India's post-2014 trajectory reflects a complex and mixed process of transformation. On the one hand, India has achieved substantial advances in infrastructure development, digital governance, financial inclusion, welfare-delivery systems, macroeconomic resilience, and international economic visibility. These developments contributed positively to perceptions of India's long-term growth potential and were reflected most notably in S&P Global Ratings' 2025 upgrade of India's sovereign rating from BBB− to BBB (Reuters, 2025b; S&P Global Ratings, 2025). On the other hand, the continuing caution maintained by Fitch Ratings and Moody's highlights the persistence of structural concerns relating to debt sustainability, fiscal depth, labour-market resilience, inequality, and institutional consolidation (Fitch Ratings, 2025; Moody's Investors Service, 2025).

The study therefore suggests that India's sovereign-rating trajectory cannot be adequately interpreted either as evidence of economic failure or as confirmation of complete structural transformation. Rather, sovereign-credit assessments appear to reflect an evolving international evaluation of how far India's economic rise has been converted into durable institutional and developmental credibility. The divergence among major rating agencies further demonstrates that sovereign assessments increasingly involve multidimensional judgements concerning governance reliability, fiscal sustainability, macroeconomic resilience, and long-term developmental capability rather than relying solely on aggregate GDP growth.

More broadly, the article argues that sovereign-credit ratings in emerging economies should be understood as institutional assessments of structural transformation rather than merely technical evaluations of economic output. Within this framework, sovereign credibility depends fundamentally upon the extent to which States are able to convert economic expansion into deeper fiscal capacity, institutional predictability, productive employment generation, and socially sustainable development. The concept of the Sovereign-Credibility Conversion Gap therefore provides a broader interpretive lens for understanding why rapidly growing economies may continue to experience relatively cautious sovereign-risk evaluations despite strong macroeconomic performance.

7.1 Contribution to Knowledge

This study contributes to the existing literature in several important ways. First, it introduces the concept of the "Sovereign-Credibility Conversion Gap" as a theoretical framework for analysing the relationship between macroeconomic growth and sovereign-credit credibility within emerging-market economies. While previous scholarship has often examined sovereign ratings primarily through macroeconomic or financial indicators, this study integrates sovereign-risk analysis with broader debates concerning political economy, fiscal sociology, State capacity, institutional governance, labour-market resilience, and distributive development.

Second, the article contributes to sovereign-risk scholarship by proposing that sovereign-credit assessments reflect not merely aggregate economic performance but the degree to which growth is institutionally consolidated into durable structural strength. In doing so, the study expands existing discussions concerning sovereign-creditworthiness beyond conventional fiscal and debt-based indicators toward a more multidimensional understanding of developmental credibility.

Third, the article contributes to contemporary debates on India's economic transformation by offering a more balanced analytical interpretation situated between highly celebratory narratives of economic emergence and sharply reductionist critiques of governance failure. The study argues that India's sovereign-rating trajectory reflects a mixed process of transformation characterised simultaneously by substantial macroeconomic progress and continuing structural constraints.

7.2 Scope and Limitations

The scope of this study is confined primarily to analysing the relationship between India's sovereign-credit trajectory and broader structural dimensions of economic transformation during the post-2014 period. The article focuses specifically on issues relating to fiscal sustainability, institutional governance, labour-market resilience, distributive inequality, and sovereign-risk evaluation within the context of emerging-market political economy.

Several limitations should also be acknowledged. First, the study relies predominantly on secondary-source materials, including sovereign-rating reports, institutional publications, policy documents, and existing scholarly literature. Consequently, the analysis remains dependent upon the methodological assumptions and statistical accuracy of the cited institutions and publications. Second, the article does not employ original econometric modelling or primary empirical fieldwork. The findings should therefore be interpreted as analytical and interpretive rather than statistically causal conclusions.

Third, sovereign-credit assessments themselves involve qualitative institutional judgements that may vary across rating agencies and over time. While the study critically examines sovereign-rating logic, it does not claim that rating agencies operate as entirely neutral or universally consistent evaluative institutions. Finally, although the article analyses major structural dimensions of India's economic transformation, it does not attempt to provide an exhaustive assessment of all aspects of India's political economy, governance system, or developmental trajectory since 2014.

7.3 Future Research Directions

The framework developed in this study opens several avenues for future research. First, future scholarship may apply the concept of the Sovereign-Credibility Conversion Gap comparatively across other emerging economies such as Brazil, Indonesia, South Africa, or Vietnam in order to examine how different institutional structures influence sovereign-credit trajectories within developing contexts.

Second, future studies may undertake quantitative or econometric analyses exploring the relationship between fiscal capacity, employment quality, institutional effectiveness, inequality, and sovereign-rating outcomes across multiple emerging economies. Such approaches could help further evaluate the empirical dimensions of the theoretical framework proposed in this article.

Third, additional research may examine how geopolitical positioning, strategic alliances, technological transformation, climate vulnerability, and global supply-chain restructuring increasingly influence sovereign-credit assessments in the contemporary international economy. Finally, future scholarship may also investigate the extent to which sovereign-rating methodologies themselves evolve in response to changing global economic conditions, developmental models, and international governance expectations.

Ultimately, the study suggests that understanding sovereign credibility in the twenty-first century requires moving beyond narrow macroeconomic indicators toward broader analyses of institutional resilience, fiscal depth, developmental sustainability, and long-term governance capability.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the editors and editorial staff of JHSSR for their assistance during publication period.

Funding

No funding was received for this research.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Declaration of Generative AI and AI-assisted Technologies in the Writing Process

During the preparation of this manuscript, the authors did not employ any of the Generative AI and/or AI-Assisted technologies for Language refinement, drafting background section and did not perform any Task of the technology.

References

Afonso, A., Gomes, P., & Rother, P. (2007). *What "hides" behind sovereign debt ratings?* European Central Bank Working Paper No. 711. European Central Bank. https://www.ecb.europa.eu/pub/pdf/scpwps/ecbwp711.pdf

Azim Premji University. (2023). *State of working India 2023: Social identities and labour market outcomes*. Centre for Sustainable Employment, Azim Premji University. https://cse.azimpremjiuniversity.edu.in/state-of-working-india-2023/

Bharti, N. K., Chancel, L., Piketty, T., & Somanchi, A. (2024). *Income and wealth inequality in India, 1922–2023: The rise of the billionaire raj* (World Inequality Lab Working Paper). World Inequality Lab. https://wid.world/document/income-and-wealth-inequality-in-india-1922-2023-the-rise-of-the-billionaire-raj-world-inequality-lab-working-paper-2024-09/

Bhatia, A. V. (2002). *Sovereign credit ratings methodology: An evaluation* (IMF Working Paper No. WP/02/170). International Monetary Fund. https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/wp/2002/wp02170.pdf

Cantor, R., & Packer, F. (1996). Determinants and impact of sovereign credit ratings. *Federal Reserve Bank of New York Economic Policy Review, 2*(2), 37–53. https://www.newyorkfed.org/medialibrary/media/research/epr/96v02n2/9610cant.pdf

Chodorow-Reich, G., Gopinath, G., Mishra, P., & Narayanan, A. (2020). Cash and the economy: Evidence from India's demonetization. *Quarterly Journal of Economics, 135*(1), 57–103. https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/qjz027

Fitch Ratings. (2025). *Fitch affirms India at 'BBB-'; outlook stable*. Fitch Ratings. https://www.fitchratings.com

Government of India. (2025). *India's external debt: A status report 2024–25*. Ministry of Finance, Department of Economic Affairs. https://dea.gov.in

Government of India. (2026). *Economic survey 2025–26 highlights*. Press Information Bureau, Government of India. https://www.pib.gov.in

International Labour Organization, & Institute for Human Development. (2024). *India employment report 2024: Youth employment, education and skills*. International Labour Organization. https://www.ilo.org

International Monetary Fund. (2014). *India: 2014 Article IV consultation* (IMF Country Report No. 14/57). International Monetary Fund. https://www.imf.org

International Monetary Fund. (2025). *India: 2025 Article IV consultation* (IMF Country Report). International Monetary Fund. https://www.imf.org

Mellios, C., & Paget-Blanc, E. (2006). Which factors determine sovereign credit ratings? *The European Journal of Finance, 12*(4), 361–377. https://doi.org/10.1080/13518470500377406

Moody's Investors Service. (2025). *Moody's affirms India's sovereign ratings and maintains stable outlook*. Moody's Investors Service. https://www.moodys.com

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2025a). *Revenue statistics 2025*. OECD Publishing. https://www.oecd.org/tax/revenue-statistics/

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2025b). *Revenue statistics in Asia and the Pacific 2025*. OECD Publishing. https://www.oecd.org/tax/tax-policy/revenue-statistics-asia-and-pacific-brochure.pdf

Pescatori, A., Sandri, D., & Simon, J. (2014). *Debt and growth: Is there a magic threshold?* (IMF Working Paper No. WP/14/34). International Monetary Fund. https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/wp/2014/wp1434.pdf

Reinhart, C. M., & Rogoff, K. S. (2010). Growth in a time of debt. *American Economic Review, 100*(2), 573–578. https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.100.2.573

Reuters. (2025a). *Fitch keeps India rating; flags high debt and fiscal risks*. Reuters.

Reuters. (2025b). *S&P lifts India's rating to BBB, first upgrade since 2007*. Reuters.

Reuters. (2025c). *Moody's affirms India's sovereign ratings, retains stable outlook*. Reuters.

S&P Global Ratings. (2025). *India sovereign rating update*. S&P Global Ratings. https://www.spglobal.com/ratings

Sinclair, T. J. (2005). *The new masters of capital: American bond rating agencies and the politics of creditworthiness*. Cornell University Press. https://www.cornellpress.cornell.edu/book/9780801474910/the-new-masters-of-capital/#bookTabs=1

World Bank. (2018). *India development update: India's GST and its challenges*. World Bank. https://www.worldbank.org

World Bank. (2024). *Taxing for growth: Revisiting the 15 percent threshold*. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper. World Bank. https://www.worldbank.org

World Bank. (2026). *India development update*. World Bank. https://www.worldbank.org

World Bank. (2026). *World development indicators: India GDP and GDP per capita data*. World Bank Open Data. https://data.worldbank.org/country/india

Biographical Statement of Author(s)

Professor Dr. Dilip Nandkeolyar is an academic leader, author, and corporate strategist with nearly five decades of experience in higher education, business leadership, and sustainable development. He currently serves as Co-Chancellor of Commonwealth University, where he promotes AI-enabled pedagogy, industry-integrated learning, and research-driven management education.

He has held leadership positions at institutions including IMM Business School, Shree Guru Gobind Singh Tricentenary University, and Universal Business School. His academic contributions include the establishment of innovation and AI-focused Centres of Excellence, competency-based assessment systems, and international collaborations supporting research and student mobility.

Dr. Nandkeolyar has published more than 25 peer-reviewed journal articles and several books on marketing, SME development, and strategic management. He has also served as reviewer and editorial board member for various academic and professional publications.

In addition to academia, he has held senior leadership roles in organizations such as Reva Electric Car Co., Educe Solutions, and Williamson Magor Group. He currently serves as Senior Business Advisor to World Association of Small and Medium Enterprises, contributing to initiatives aligned with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals.

Professor Dr. Dilip Nandkeolyar
Co-Chancellor
Commonwealth University
London, U.K.
E-mail: dilipnandkeolyar@hotmail.com

Nayan Deep S. Kanwal, born in 1958, earned his B.Ag. and M.Sc. degrees from the University of Papua New Guinea (UPNG) in 1982 and 1984, respectively. In 2005, he was awarded a French government scholarship to pursue his Ph.D. in France.

His academic career took root at Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM), Malaysia in 1996, where he served as a lecturer until 2018. During this period, he concurrently held the position of Chief Executive Editor for several esteemed academic journals, contributing significantly to scholarly publishing.

He is currently based in the United States, working as a research publications consultant. In addition to his consultancy, he actively mentors and trains students on a freelance basis. His primary research interests lie in environmental studies and English language education.

Professor Kanwal is a Fellow of the Royal Society of Arts (FRSA), a Life Member of the British Institute of Management (BIM), an Associate Member of the Marketing Institute of Singapore (AMIS), and an Associate Member of the Australian Institute of Agricultural Science and Technology (AIAST).

Professor Dr. Nayan Deep S. Kanwal
Independent Researcher
Austin, Texas
TX 78705, USA
E-mail: nayan.kanwal@gmail.com